Method of Determining Small Bodies' Orbits Based on an Exhaustive Search of Orbital Planes

D. E. Vavilov, Yu. D. Medvedev

Institute of Applied Astronomy of Russian Academy of Science

24-26 November, 2014, Paris, France





1 Introduction

2 The new method

- Description of the method
- Advantages and disadvantages
- Comparison with the Gauss method

3 Conclusion



Introduction

- November 6 2014 there are 415688 numbered asteroids and 249089 unnumbered asteroids.
- More than 10000 near-Earth objects.
- From April 15 2014 till November 6 2014 12088 asteroids were discovered! (≈ 1700 asteroids per month)



Let's assume that we have $n \geq 3$ positional observations of a body right ascensions α_j and declinations δ_j at times t_j , $(j = \overline{1, n})$. Generally this problem can be divided into 2 subproblems:

- determine the unperturbed Keplerian orbit
- improve the orbital elements



Description of the method Advantages and disadvantages Comparison with the Gauss method

Description of the orbit determination method

Our idea is not to determine the unperturbed Keplerian orbit. The method consists of two stages:

- Determination of the first approximation of the orbit using exhaustive search of orbital planes
- Improving the orbit by the differential method.



Description of the method Advantages and disadvantages Comparison with the Gauss method

Description of the method

$$\vec{H_j} = \rho_j \vec{L_j} + \vec{E_j}, \ j = \overline{1, n}, where$$

 $\vec{H_j}$ are heliocentric vectors of the body positions at time $t_j,$ ρ_j are the topocentric distances,

 $ec{L_j}$ are unit vectors pointing to the body (in the topocentric equatorial coordinate system

$$\vec{L_j} = (\cos \alpha_j \cos \delta_j, \sin \alpha_j \cos \delta_j, \sin \delta_j))$$

 $\vec{E_j}$ are the heliocentric vectors of the observer's position.

- We don't know ρ_j ,
- Generally ρ_j are determined using iterations, which can diverge or give unsatisfactory results (e.g. $\rho_j < 0$).

Description of the method Advantages and disadvantages Comparison with the Gauss method

The first stage

In the first stage the exhaustive search of orbital planes is carried out (exhaustive search of inclination i and longitudes of ascending node Ω). For each considered plane we do the following:



Introduction Description of the method The new method Advantages and disadvantages Conclusion Comparison with the Gauss method

The first stage

In the first stage the exhaustive search of orbital planes is carried out (exhaustive search of inclination i and longitudes of ascending node Ω). For each considered plane we do the following:

• determine the ρ_j values as lengths of vector sections L_j pointing from the observer to the object till the intersection with the plane.

$$\rho_j = \left| \frac{(\vec{N}, \vec{E_j})}{(\vec{N}, \vec{L_j})} \right|,$$

where $\vec{N} = (\sin i \cdot \sin \Omega, -\sin i \cdot \cos \Omega, \cos i)$ is the normal vector to the orbital plane.

Description of the method Advantages and disadvantages Comparison with the Gauss method

The first stage

• Take abberation corrections into account $t_j' = t_j - \frac{1}{c} \rho_j$



Description of the method Advantages and disadvantages Comparison with the Gauss method

The first stage

• Take abberation corrections into account $t'_j = t_j - \frac{1}{c}\rho_j$

• Choose two reference observations.



Introduction Description of the method The new method Advantages and disadvantages Conclusion Comparison with the Gauss method

The first stage

- Take abberation corrections into account $t_j' = t_j \frac{1}{c}\rho_j$
- Choose two reference observations.
- Calculate the orbit elements by the method of determining orbital elements based on two heliocentric positions and times.



The first stage

- Take abberation corrections into account $t_j' = t_j \frac{1}{c}\rho_j$
- Choose two reference observations.
- Calculate the orbit elements by the method of determining orbital elements based on two heliocentric positions and times.
- rms of the observation fit is determined:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (\alpha_j - \alpha_j^c)^2 \cos^2 \delta_j} + (\delta_j - \delta_j^c)^2,$$

where α_j^c, δ_j^c are the calculated equatorial coordinates of the celestial body.



The first stage

- Take abberation corrections into account $t_j' = t_j \frac{1}{c}\rho_j$
- Choose two reference observations.
- Calculate the orbit elements by the method of determining orbital elements based on two heliocentric positions and times.
- rms of the observation fit is determined:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (\alpha_j - \alpha_j^c)^2 \cos^2 \delta_j + (\delta_j - \delta_j^c)^2},$$

where α_j^c, δ_j^c are the calculated equatorial coordinates of the celestial body.

The orbit associated with the least σ is considered as the first approximation.



Description of the method Advantages and disadvantages Comparison with the Gauss method

The second stage

The orbital elements obtained in the first stage are improved by differential method taking the following perturbations into account:

- Gravitational perturbations from major planets, Moon and Pluto.
- Relativistic corrections from the Sun.
- The coordinates of major planets are calculated by DE423.



Description of the method Advantages and disadvantages Comparison with the Gauss method

Advantages and disadvantages

Advantages:

- Iterations are not carried out to calculate ρ_j .
- The first approximation is always exists.
- If the orbit is not improved we can choose the orbit with the least σ and also we can consider set of appropriate orbits.

Disadvantages:

- Calculation time is about several seconds.
- We don't know what step we should use at first stage.



Comparison with the Gauss method

We calculated orbits for 34 asteroids by proposed method and compared with the Gauss method. For 11 asteroids we couldn't obtain the orbit by the Gauss method which can be improved by differential method.

- For 9 asteroids the observation times are two groups separated by a relatively large time interval.
- The mean observation of 2011 KK15 asteroid was inaccurate.
- In calculating the orbit of 2010 SG13 the iterations used to determine ρ diverged. $\rho < 0$.



Introduction Description of the method The new method Advantages and disadvantages Conclusion Comparison with the Gauss method

2010 SG13



э

< /□ > <

Introduction Description of the method The new method Advantages and disadvantages Conclusion Comparison with the Gauss method

2010 PT66



< 一型

Conclusion

- We developed a new method to determine orbits of celestial bodies.
- The appropriate orbits of all considered asteroids have been calculated by this method.
- For some asteroids the Gauss method didn't determine appropriate orbit which can be improved by differential method.



Reference

- YU. S. BONDARENKO, D. E. VAVILOV, AND YU. D. MEDVEDEV, Method of Determining the Orbits of the Small Bodies in the Solar System Based on an Exhaustive Search of Orbital Planes // Solar System Research, 2014, vol. 48, № 3, pp. 212-216.
- № Ю. С. Бондаренко, Д. Е. Вавилов, Ю. Д. Медведев, Метод определения орбит малых тел Солнечной системы, основанный на переборе орбитальных плоскостей // Астрономический вестник, 2014, том 48, № 3, стр. 229-233.



Thank you for attention!



D. E. Vavilov, Yu. D. Medvedev Orbit determination